

The objection that the ordinance offends against the commerce clause of the Constitution is not tenable. The ordinance makes no discrimination against interstate commerce, will not impede its movement in regular course, and will affect it only incidentally and indirectly. *South Covington Ry. Co. v. Covington*, 235 U. S. 537, 540; *Sligh v. Kirkwood*, 237 U. S. 52, 58, 60. The case of *Kansas City Southern Ry. Co. v. Kaw Valley Drainage District*, 233 U. S. 75, obviously is not to the contrary.

*Judgment affirmed.*